

---

**From:** Anthony Tavella on behalf of DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 25 February 2020 7:22 AM  
**To:** DPE PSVC Central Coast Mailbox  
**Subject:** 2020 03 09 Gillespie, Lindsay Individual Warnervale Airport (Restrictions) Act 1996 Review

**Categories:** Reply Sent

---

**From:** [noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au](mailto:noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au) <[noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au](mailto:noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, 23 February 2020 10:12 AM  
**To:** DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox <[eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au)>  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Review of Warnervale Airport (Restrictions) Act 1996

Submitted on Sun, 23/02/2020 - 10:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type:I am making a personal submission

First Name: Lindsay

Last Name: Gillespie

Name Withheld: No

Email: [linds.gillespie5467@gmail.com](mailto:linds.gillespie5467@gmail.com)

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Jilliby

Submission file: [webform\_submission:values:submission\_file]

Submission: I am writing to express my desire for the existing Act to NOT be amended. The noise of the aircraft is annoying. We chose to live in a semi-rural location so that we could enjoy a more peaceful lifestyle. Increase in activity at the airport would further decrease my ability to live in a less noisy world. Having aircraft learning to fly, stalling the aircraft and then starting again is very disturbing. If it happens on e or twice, you can accept it....but when it is happening 10's of times each day, especially over the weekend it becomes infuriating. The location of the airport is not a good site, especially if it is to have increased activity. There is a gradual and large increase in urban sprawl in the area. It does not make sense to increase activity of the airport for reasons of safety. If an aircraft was to crash, there is a increased risk to human life as the fly over homes. We have larger, easily accessible airports in the area for larger aircraft. The desires of this increased activity at the airport are pushed by a relatively small group of wealthy individuals - Most would NOT live under the flight path. The desire to live in a quiet setting, should not be threatened and trumped by a small group of individuals who have a hobby. I am not saying close the airport- I am saying I can live with it as is. I am also saying thats a persons home and amenity must always be the sole determinant over hobbies. There will not be an overwhelming increase in employment if the airport activity is increased - it will only be a small increase. It is only a matter of time if this amendment is allowed the further increases will be sought. This is NOT the correct environment to have a larger airport in. PLEASE DO NOT CHANGE THE EXISTING RULES OR AMEND THE EXISTING ACT!!!

URL: <https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/review-warnervale-airport-restrictions-act-1996>